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## ABSTRACT

Parallel manipulators have been developed largely for applications that need high accuracy and speed, which make them useful in important fields. This paper presents a detailed mathematical model for a closed chain pantograph mechanism. This task was done by defining its differential equation of motion and implements it using the Matlab Simulink. The mathematical model describes the forward and inverse kinematics, in addition, the dynamic behavior. The mathematical model advantage is studying the parameters variation using different advanced control techniques. This model can be implemented using the Simscape model, which considers a black-box model. To validate the proposed mathematical model for the pantograph, the corresponding model mechanism using the Simscape had been implemented. A comparative study was executed between the mathematical model and the outputs of the Simscape. The results indicated a perfect match for output extracted from Simscape and Simulink. This is evidence for the validity of the inferred equations and Simulink model.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

Parallel robots have become a necessary part of general robots both in academia and in the industry [1]. Besides, with the rapid development of parallel robots, the research on mechanism theory, mobility analysis, dimensional synthesis, kinematics and dynamics modeling [2], and design optimization have been increasing on a large scale.

The development of parallel robotics and controllable mechanism, a five-bar planar mechanism has become


Fig.1: Five Bar Planar
(n............... widely used as a mechanical design, which is called a pantograph mechanism shown in Fig. 1. The name pantograph refers to the five-sided links used. Four of the five links are moving platform and the fifth one is base platform. The Five bar planar manipulator is a relatively simple mechanism has two degree-of-freedom (DOF) and its kinematics is explicit [3] [4] [5]. However, its characteristics are high speed, high accuracy, low inertia and carrying more weights [6]. For these reasons, it draws a lot of researchers' attention. Some prototypes and commercial products were made, such as 'double SCARA' RP-AH series offered by Mitsubishi Electrics, and DexTAR, five-bar planar manipulator designed ETS. The five-links planar of the pantograph, which is a simple two degree of freedom (DOF) mechanism (Fig. 2), one of them (L0) is passive and the other four links (L1, L2, L3, L4) are active. The system contains only five rotational joints (figure 2). Links 1 and 4 are the driving


Fig.2: Two-DOF Mechanism. links. With the help of the appropriate rotation of the actuating links, the characteristic point e of the system can follow the desired planar trajectory in the borders of the working zone [7]. Especially, the need for exactly adaptive automation in varied applications has led to higher requirements for operational accuracy and cycle time with robots [8]. Examples of such needs are higher precision assembly, faster product handling, surface finishing, better measurements, surface finishing, and milling capabilities [9]. Additionally, there is a high demand for off-line programming to eliminate touch-up of programmed positions; in other words, robots must perform their task with better load capacity and accuracy in operations. A general trend of meeting these requirements is to make use of
parallel robots, which have excellent potential capabilities, including high rigidity, high accuracy, and high loading capacities [10].

This paper is organized as following: Forward Kinematics as the first section, followed by Investors Kinematics, then Dynamics including Velocity vectors, and then Kinetic Energy, which will lead us to the equations of movement and in the end the results part. This paper features a complete infer of all the mathematical equations that represent the pantograph in addition to the saturation portion detailed in Section 6 in addition to simulations on Simulink Matlab and also on Simscape and comparing results. A number of researchers have previously provided a portion of the mathematical equations that represent the pantograph, such as [11] [12].

## 2 DIRECT KINEMATICS

The constrain of the five-link mechanisms as shown in Fig. $\mathbf{3}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1} \bar{a}_{1}+l_{2} \bar{b}_{1}-l_{3} \bar{c}_{1}-l_{4} \bar{d}_{1}-l_{5} \bar{n}_{1}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $l_{i}$ for $\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots . .5$ is the length of links, $\left(\bar{a}_{1}, \bar{b}_{1}, \bar{c}_{1}, \bar{d}_{1}, \bar{n}_{1}\right)$ are unit vectors. The relation between the task space $\left(\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{e}} \quad \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ and joint space $\left(\theta=\left(\theta_{1} \theta_{2} \quad \theta_{3} \quad \theta_{4}\right)^{T}\right.$ of the five-link mechanism system can be calculated, where x and y are the Cartesian coordinates of Joint e with

respect to the plane $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ of reference [13], as shown in fig. 3 [14].

In order to solve for x and y using $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{4}$, define the following vector:

$$
\begin{gather*}
r^{o s}=x \bar{n}_{1}+y \bar{n}_{2} \\
=l_{1} \bar{a}_{1}+l_{2} \bar{b}_{1} \\
=l_{1}\left(\cos \theta_{1} \bar{n}_{1+} \sin \theta_{1} \bar{n}_{2}\right)+l_{2}\left(\cos \theta_{2} \bar{n}_{1}+\sin \theta_{2} \bar{n}_{2}\right) \\
=l_{1}\left(\cos \theta_{1}+\cos \theta_{2}\right) \bar{n}_{1}+l_{2}\left(\sin \theta_{1}+\sin \theta_{2}\right) \bar{n}_{2} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{r}^{\circ \mathrm{ee}}$ is the position vector of point e in the plane $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ by using equation 2 , obtain the following two scalar equations

Fig. 3 : Direct Kinematics Mechanism.

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{e}=l_{1} \cos \theta_{1}+l_{2} \cos \theta_{2}  \tag{3}\\
& y_{e}=l_{1} \sin \theta_{1}+l_{2} \sin \theta_{2} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (3) and (4) can simulate the forward kinematics of the five-link mechanism. Need to solve $\theta_{2}$ in terms of $\theta_{1}$ by the holonomic constraints. Where $\theta_{2}$ is passive angle and has to be described using the active angle of the device ( $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{4}$ ). From equation (1) can conclude that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.l_{1} \cos \theta_{1} \bar{n}_{1+} \sin \theta_{1} \bar{n}_{2}\right)+l_{2}\left(\cos \theta_{2} \bar{n}_{1}+\sin \theta_{2} \bar{n}_{2}\right)-l_{3}\left(\cos \theta_{3} \bar{n}_{1+} \sin \theta_{3} \bar{n}_{2}\right)- \\
& l_{4}\left(\cos \theta_{4} \bar{n}_{1}+\sin \theta_{4} \bar{n}_{2}\right)-l_{5} \bar{n}_{1}=0 \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (5) gives the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& l_{1} \cos \theta_{1}+l_{2} \cos \theta_{2}-l_{3} \cos \theta_{3}-l_{4} \cos \theta_{4}-l_{5}=0  \tag{6}\\
& l_{1} \sin \theta_{1}+l_{2} \sin \theta_{2}-l_{3} \sin \theta_{3}-l_{4} \sin \theta_{4}=0 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from equations (6 and 7) can deduce $x_{e}$ which expresses the X coordinate of the point and $y_{e}$ is Y coordinate as following

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{e}=l_{1} \cos \theta_{1}+l_{2} \cos \theta_{2}=l_{3} \cos \theta_{3}+l_{4} \cos \theta_{4}+l_{5}  \tag{8}\\
& y_{e}=l_{1} \sin \theta_{1}+l_{2} \sin \theta_{2}=l_{3} \sin \theta_{3}+l_{4} \sin \theta_{4} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The Newton-Raphson theory or Trigonometry method can be used to find $\theta_{3}$ and $\theta_{2}$. In this work, the trigonometry method was used to calculate it.

From equation (8) and (9), $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{4}$ are independent. So, $\theta_{3}$ and $\theta_{2}$ consider as a function of $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{4}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{3}=2 \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{A \pm \sqrt{A^{2}+B^{2}-C^{2}}}{B-C}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where,

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=2 l_{3} l_{4} \sin \theta_{4}-2 l_{1} l_{3} \cos \theta_{1} \\
B=2 l_{3} l_{5}-2 l_{1} l_{3} \cos \theta_{1}+2 l_{4} l_{3} \cos \theta_{4} \\
c=l_{1}^{2}-l_{2}^{2}+l_{3}^{2}+l_{4}^{2}+l_{5}^{2}-l_{1} l_{4} \sin \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{4}-2 l_{1} l_{5} \cos \theta_{1}-2 l_{4} l_{5} \cos \theta_{4} \\
-2 l_{4} l_{1} \cos \theta_{4} \cos \theta_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

From equations (9) and (10) will result that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{2}=\sin ^{-1}\left[\frac{l_{3} \sin \theta_{3}+l_{4} \sin \theta_{4}-l_{1} \sin \theta_{1}}{l_{2}}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 INVERSE KINEMATICS

By added the equations (8) and (9) and remove the passive angles $\theta_{2}$ and $\theta_{3}$ and can get direct relation between the coordinates of the end-effector and link lengths to the actuating angles $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{4}$ as following equations [15].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{1}=2 \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{-E \pm \sqrt{D^{2}+E^{2}-F^{2}}}{-D-F}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where,

$$
\begin{gathered}
D=x_{e} \\
E=y_{e} \\
F=\frac{l_{1}^{2}-l_{2}^{2}+x_{e}{ }^{2}+y_{e}{ }^{2}}{2 l_{1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{4}=2 \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{-H \pm \sqrt{G^{2}+H^{2}-I^{2}}}{-G-I}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where,

$$
\begin{gathered}
G=x_{e}-l_{5} \\
H=y_{e} \\
I=\frac{l_{4}{ }^{2}+l_{5}{ }^{2}-l_{3}{ }^{2}-2 x_{e} l_{5}+x_{e}{ }^{2}+y_{e}{ }^{2}}{2 l_{4}}
\end{gathered}
$$

The links lengths are constant for the robot, which helps to easily solve the above equations. From equation (12) and (13) it can obtained $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{4}$ without known $\theta_{2}$ and $\theta_{3}$. The only inputs need for controlling the five-link mechanism is the location of the end-effector ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ).

## 4 DYNAMUCS SOLUTION:

The position vector of the center of mass of link A with respect to reference plane $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0} r^{A_{0}}=\frac{L_{1}}{2} \bar{n}_{1}=\frac{l_{1}}{2} \cos \theta_{1} \bar{n}_{1}+\frac{L_{1}}{2} \sin \theta_{1} \bar{n}_{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The position vector of the center of mass of link B is given by:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{ }^{0} B_{0}=l_{1} \bar{n}_{1}+\frac{l_{1}}{2} \bar{n}_{1}=l_{1} \cos \theta_{1} \bar{n}_{1}+l_{1} \sin \theta_{1} \bar{n}_{2}+\frac{l_{2}}{2} \cos \theta_{2} \bar{n}_{1}+\frac{l_{2}}{2} \sin \theta_{2} \bar{n}_{2} \\
=\left(l_{1} \cos \theta_{1}+\frac{l_{2}}{2} \cos \theta_{2}\right) \bar{n}_{1}+\left(l_{1} \sin \theta_{1}+\frac{l_{2}}{2} \sin \theta_{2}\right) \bar{n}_{2} \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly, the position vector of the center of mass of link $D$ is given by:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{ }^{{ }^{0} D_{0}=}=l_{0} \bar{n}_{1}+ \\
+\frac{l_{4}}{2} \bar{d}_{1}=l_{0} \bar{n}_{1}+\frac{l_{4}}{2}\left(\cos \theta_{4} \bar{n}_{1}+\sin \theta_{4} \bar{n}_{2}\right)  \tag{16}\\
\\
=\left(l_{0}+\frac{l_{4}}{2} \cos \theta_{4}\right) \bar{n}_{1}+\left(\frac{l_{4}}{2} \sin \theta_{4}\right) \bar{n}_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Finally, the position vector of the center of mass of link C is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }^{{ }_{r}} c_{0}=l_{0} \bar{n}_{1}+ & l_{4} \bar{d}_{1}+\frac{l_{3}}{2} \bar{c}_{1} \\
& =l_{0} \bar{n}_{1}+l_{4} \cos \theta_{4} \bar{n}_{1}+l_{4} \sin \theta_{4} \bar{n}_{2}+\frac{l_{3}}{2} \cos \theta_{3} \bar{n}_{1}+\frac{l_{3}}{2} \sin \theta_{3} \bar{n}_{2} \\
& =\left(l_{0}+l_{4} \cos \theta_{4}+\frac{l_{3}}{2} \cos \theta_{3}\right) \bar{n}_{1}+\left(l_{4} \sin \theta_{4}+\frac{l_{3}}{2} \sin \theta_{3}\right) \bar{n}_{2} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$



## 5 The velocity vector of the calculated position vectors with respect to the

 plane ( $\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}$ ):Velocity vector of the center of mass of link $A$ in the plane of reference $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ (Fig. 4):
${ }^{N} V^{A_{0}}=-\frac{l_{1}}{2} \dot{\theta}_{1} \sin \theta_{1} \bar{n}_{1}+\frac{l_{1}}{2} \dot{\theta}_{1} \cos \theta_{1} \bar{n}_{2}$

Velocity vector of the center of mass of link B:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{N} V^{B_{0}}=-\left(l_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1} \sin \theta_{1}+\frac{l_{2}}{2} \dot{\theta}_{2} \sin \theta_{2}\right) \bar{n}_{1}+\left(l_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1} \cos \theta_{1}+\frac{l_{2}}{2} \dot{\theta}_{2} \cos \theta_{2}\right) \bar{n}_{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Velocity vector of the center of mass of link D is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{N} V^{D_{0}}=-\left(\frac{l_{4}}{2} \dot{\theta}_{4} \sin \theta_{4}\right) \bar{n}_{1}+\left(\frac{l_{4}}{2} \dot{\theta}_{4} \cos \theta_{4}\right) \bar{n}_{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Velocity vector of the center of mass of link C is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{N} V^{c_{0}}=-\left(l_{4} \dot{\theta}_{4} \sin \theta_{4}+\frac{l_{3}}{2} \dot{\theta}_{3} \sin \theta_{3}\right) \bar{n}_{1}+\left(l_{4} \dot{\theta}_{4} \cos \theta_{4}+\frac{l_{3}}{2} \dot{\theta}_{3} \cos \theta_{3}\right) \bar{n}_{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6 KINETIC ENERGY (K):

Links A and D has a rotational motion only so its kinetic energy is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{A}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{m_{A} l_{1}^{2}}{3} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}  \tag{22}\\
& K_{D}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{m_{D} l_{4}^{2}}{3} \dot{\theta}_{4}^{2} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

But Links B and C have a rational and translation motion so its kinetic energy is:

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{B}=\frac{1}{2} I_{B} \dot{\theta}_{2}{ }^{2}+ & \frac{1}{2} m_{B}\left({ }^{N} V^{B_{0}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{m_{B} l_{2}{ }^{2}}{12} \dot{\theta}_{2}{ }^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{B}\left({ }^{N} V^{B_{0}}\right)^{2}  \tag{24}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{m_{B} l_{2}{ }^{2}}{12} \dot{\theta}_{2}{ }^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{B}\left(l_{1}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{1}{ }^{2}+\frac{l_{2}{ }^{2}}{4} \dot{\theta}_{2}{ }^{2}+\frac{l_{1} l_{2}}{2} \dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{2} \cos \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)\right) \\
K_{C}=\frac{1}{2} I_{C} \dot{\theta}_{3}{ }^{2} & +\frac{1}{2} m_{C}\left({ }^{N} V^{c_{0}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{m_{C} l_{3}{ }^{2}}{12} \dot{\theta}_{3}{ }^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{C}\left({ }^{N} V^{c_{0}}\right)^{2}  \tag{25}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{m_{C} l_{3}{ }^{2}}{12} \dot{\theta}_{3}{ }^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{C}\left(l_{4}^{2} \dot{\theta}_{4}{ }^{2}+\frac{l_{3}{ }^{2}}{4} \dot{\theta}_{3}{ }^{2}+\frac{l_{3} l_{4}}{2} \dot{\theta}_{3} \dot{\theta}_{4} \cos \left(\theta_{3}-\theta_{4}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Total kinetic energy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=K_{A}+K_{B}+K_{C}+K_{D} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation of motion by Lagrangian equation [16]:

$$
T=T \text { orque }=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}_{i}}-\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_{i}}
$$

By using Lagrangian equation obtain by torque, T 1 and T 2 , Where $(m, w, v)$ are constants.

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{1}=\left(m_{11} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+m_{12} \ddot{\theta}_{4}+w_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1}+w_{2} \dot{\theta}_{4}\right)-\left(w_{3} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}+w_{4} \dot{\theta}_{4}^{2}+w_{5} \dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{4}\right)  \tag{27}\\
& T_{2}=\left(m_{21} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+m_{22} \ddot{\theta}_{4}+v_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1}+v_{2} \dot{\theta}_{4}\right)-\left(v_{3} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}+v_{4} \dot{\theta}_{4}^{2}+v_{5} \dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{4}\right) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

## 7 EQUATION OF MOTION:

$T=J(q) \ddot{\theta}+c(\theta, \dot{\theta})+g(\theta)$
Because the pantograph is planar system, thus $g(q)$ was neglected.

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{1}=A_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+B_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{4}+C_{1}\left(\dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{4}\right)  \tag{29}\\
& T_{2}=A_{2} \ddot{\theta}_{1}+B_{2} \ddot{\theta}_{4}+C_{2}\left(\dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{4}\right) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

From equation (29) and (30), as a matrix form, where A and B are the inertia matrix [18]

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
T^{1} \\
T_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & B_{1} \\
A_{2} & B_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{\theta}_{1} \\
\ddot{\theta}_{4}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
C_{1}\left(\dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{4}\right) \\
C_{2}\left(\dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{4}\right)
\end{array}\right]}  \tag{31}\\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{\theta}_{1} \\
\ddot{\theta}_{4}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & B_{1} \\
A_{2} & B_{2}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\left[\begin{array}{l}
T^{1} \\
T_{2}
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{l}
C_{1}\left(\dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{4}\right) \\
C_{2}\left(\dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{4}\right)
\end{array}\right]\right]} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

## 1. BOUNDARY CONDITIONS:

It is an important part which is the permissible boundary for a mechanism, so that the link does not reach the singularity state during the path [19].

For this to be achieved $Q_{5}$ must not be equal to 180 degrees but rather greater.

So, $Q_{5}<180$ shown in fig. 5
$Q_{5}=540-\left(180+\theta_{1}\right)-\left(180-\theta_{4}+\theta_{3}\right)-\left(180+\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)-\left(\theta_{4}\right)$
So the first boundary:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{5}=\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}\right)<180 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 5 : First Boundary Condition.


Fig. 6 : Second and Third Conditions.

Second one: In order for the mechanism not to reach the position shown in Fig. 6, $\theta_{2}$ must be greater than $\theta_{1}$.

Third one: $\theta_{4}$ must be greater than $\theta_{3}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\theta_{2}>\theta_{1}\right)  \tag{35}\\
& \left(\theta_{4}>\theta_{3}\right) \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

The three rules (35), (36), and (37), can be implemented using the logic gate (AND), as will be explained later in the attached Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 in the Result section.

## 8 RESULTS:

- After applying the equations that were deduced in this paper to the Simulink Matlab program shown in fig. 7, then to the Simscape shown in fig. 8.


Fig. 7: Simulink Model of Pantograph Mechanism.


Fig. 8: Simscape Model of Pantograph Mechanism.

- When The input of motor1 torque is: $\left(T_{1}=0.01\right)$, and motor2 torque is: $\left(T_{2}=0\right)$ Where the previously mentioned three saturation rules are fulfilled, and based on Table. 1 that shows he robot parameters The results of the output were found identical as follows in Fig.

9 and Fig. 10. Where the Y-axis expresses the angle in degrees, and the X -axis expresses time in sec :


Fig. 9: Theta_1 from Simscape and Simulink.


Fig. 10: Theta_4 from Simscape and Simulink.

Table 1: The Robot Parameters.

| Mass of links: | 1 of unit mass |
| :---: | :---: |
| Length of links | 0.2 unit length |

## 9 CONCLUSION:

- A detailed mathematical model for a closed chain pantograph mechanism has been implemented using the Matlab Simulink. The mathematical model demonstrates the differential equations of the forward kinematics, inverse kinematics, and dynamics. To verify the proposed mathematical model for pantograph, a comparative study was executed between the mathematical model and the outputs of the Simscape. The simulation results show that the both of Simscape and Simulink model are identical. This is evidence for the validity of the inferred equations and the validity of the Simulink model.
- Future work: The Simulink model will be used later to set more than one control and test the efficiency of each of them.
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